

STRATEGIC NEWS SERVICE®

*The most accurate predictive letter in computing and telecommunications,
read by industry leaders worldwide.*

SNS Subscriber Edition

Special Alert

Sunday, August 28th, 2022

*****SNS SPECIAL ALERT*** A NEW RESONANCE ERA FOR THE COSMOS**

To Our Members:

To put it simply, the new Webb Space Telescope has already produced images and published papers documenting surprising results which, while not necessarily debunking the Big Bang Theory, certainly will require revising how we measure distance and age in the cosmos.

While many of these findings appear not to be consistent with current theory, all of these results appear to be consistent with our Resonance Theory. Of particular interest (and vigorous debate) are: the redshift values themselves, some reaching farther back than expected; the state of galaxies, in both development and number, at earlier redshift date – our favorite – the actual meaning of the Hubble redshift in assessing age and distance.

As you may recall, Resonance Theory suggests that total redshift values are a combination of Doppler (Hubble) redshift effects and the absorption of light energy as a function of distance traveled through the substance of space itself. (The fact that space has substance was the subject of the first Resonance paper, in 1980.)

A recent piece in *Asia Times* did a good job, just prior to Webb data becoming available, of positioning Hubble and pure Big Bang theories vs. Resonance Theory; you can read it here:

[Red shift, Big Bang and the James Webb telescope - Asia Times](#)

While the above new discoveries already are forcing re-evaluation of the basics of current cosmological theory and predictions, there is no doubt more to come. One example: should any measurements of galaxy distance actually exceed the previously calculated age of the universe, Resonance would perhaps be the only – or at least the primary – theory to explain such a finding. Even now, some scientists are asserting that this has essentially happened, with the discovery of much larger groups of more advanced galaxies than could have happened in their calculated lifetime after the “big bang.”

While virtually all astronomers are agreed that, even now, the findings of the Webb Telescope are exciting and new in many ways, it will no doubt be years before there is any sense of concurrence on new theory and its fit with these images.

But even so, I'm comfortable suggesting that we already know two things that are the most exciting discoveries of our lifetimes, regarding the cosmos:

1. The old theory is either wrong or incomplete, as we suggested earlier; and
2. Resonance Theory is completely consistent with the known new results to date.

I hope that, for all of us in the SNS family, this provides a moment of incredible joy and excitement. Beginning with a revolution in the nature of space itself, we have now reasoned our way, over 40 years and 9 papers, from the smallest to the largest scales in the world of physics; that is, in the world.

Your comments are always welcome.

Mark Anderson
CEO, Strategic News Service
and Author, Resonance Theory

“Excellent discourse regarding the Resonance Theory, Einstein, etc.” – Dennis Bushnell, Chief Scientist, NASA.

P.S. References:

[Has the Webb Telescope Disproved the Big Bang Theory? - Slashdot](#)

[Webb telescope reveals unpredicted bounty of bright galaxies in early universe | Science | AAAS](#)

[James Webb Space Telescope catches 'imposter' galaxies red-handed | Space](#)

[d41586-022-02056-5.pdf \(nature.com\)](#)

And Resonance Papers:

- [Resonance Theory: Part I](#)
- [Resonance Theory: Part II](#)
- [Resonance Theory: Part III](#)
- [Resonance Theory: Part IV](#)
- [Resonance Theory: Part V](#)
- [Resonance Theory: Part VI:](#)
- [The original paper](#)
- [Supporting documents](#)